UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HUMBERTO LOZADA and OKLAHOMA FIREFIGHTERS PENSION AND RETIREMENT SYSTEM Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TASKUS, INC., BRYCE MADDOCK, JASPAR WEIR, BALAJI SEKAR, AMIT DIXIT, MUKESH MEHTA, SUSIR KUMAR, JACQUELINE D. RESES, and BCP FC AGGREGATOR L.P.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:22-cv-01479

CLASS ACTION

DECLARATION OF MORGAN KIMBALL REGARDING DISTRIBUTION PLAN

MORGAN KIMBALL declares under penalty of perjury, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following is true:

1. I am a Project Manager for Epiq Class Action and Claims Solutions, Inc. ("Epiq"). I am providing this declaration at the request of Lead Counsel Bleichmar Fonti & Auld LLP ("Lead Counsel"), on behalf of Plaintiffs, in connection with Plaintiffs' Motion for Distribution of Class Settlement Funds.[1] This declaration supplements my prior declarations (ECF 177; ECF 196-4; ECF 198). I make this declaration based on personal knowledge, and if called to testify, I could and would do so competently.

2. Pursuant to the Court's June 13, 2025, Notice Order (ECF 191), Epiq is authorized to act as the Claims Administrator in connection with the Settlement of the above-captioned action (the "Litigation"). This declaration provides information regarding the distribution plan.

DISSEMINATION OF THE SETTLEMENT NOTICE

3. As more fully set forth in my prior declarations (*see* ECF 196-4 and 198), as of October 9, 2025, a total of 34,433 copies of the Notice had been disseminated to potential Settlement Class Members, including copies emailed directly by nominees to potential Settlement Class Members. (*See* ECF 198 ¶5.) Since that date, no additional Notices have been mailed. In total, 34,433 copies of the Notice have been mailed or emailed to potential Settlement Class Members, brokers, and other nominees who may have purchased TaskUs Class A common stock on behalf of Settlement Class Members.

4. Epiq also caused the Summary Notice to be published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over *PR Newswire* on July 7, 2025, and established and continues to maintain the Settlement Website, as well as a telephone number, email address, and P.O. Box each dedicated to the Settlement and to assisting potential Settlement Class Members. (*See* ECF 196-4 ¶¶12, 14-23.)

[1] Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings stated in the Stipulation of Settlement dated May 27, 2025 (ECF 187-1).

PROCEDURES FOLLOWED IN PROCESSING CLAIMS

5. Under the provisions of the Notice Order and as set forth in the Notice, each Settlement Class Member who wished to be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund was required to complete and submit to Epiq a properly executed Proof of Claim form postmarked or submitted online no later than October 6, 2025, together with adequate supporting documentation for the transactions and holdings reported therein. Through June 10, 2026, Epiq has received 29,555 Proof of Claim forms, and they have all been fully processed.

6. In preparation for receiving and processing claims, Epiq: (i) conferred with Lead Counsel to define the project guidelines for processing claims; (ii) created a unique database to store Proof of Claim form details and images of Proof of Claim forms and supporting documentation; (iii) trained staff in the specifics of the project so that claims would be properly processed; (iv) formulated a system so that telephone and email inquiries would be properly responded to; (v) developed various computer programs and screens for entry of claimants' identifying information, as well as their transactional information; and, (vi) developed a proprietary "calculation module" that would calculate Recognized Loss Amounts pursuant to the Court-approved Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice.

7. The Notice directed Settlement Class Members and their banks, brokers, and other nominees seeking to share in the Net Settlement Fund to submit their Proof of Claim forms to the United States Post Office box address specifically designated for the Settlement, to upload their Proof of Claim forms online using the case website, or to submit claims to the Epiq team that handles large electronic claims (the "Securities Team"). Any correspondence received at the post office box was reviewed and, where necessary, appropriate responses were provided to the senders.

PROCESSING PAPER PROOF OF CLAIM FORMS

8. Of the 29,555 Proof of Claim forms received by Epiq through June 10, 2026, 345 were Proof of Claim forms that were mailed, emailed, or submitted through the case website. Once received, paper claims were opened and prepared for scanning. Once prepared, the paper claims were scanned into a database together with all submitted documentation. Each Proof of Claim

form was assigned a unique Claim Number. The information from each Proof of Claim form was entered into the database developed by Epiq to process claims submitted for the Settlement. Next, the documentation provided by each claimant in support of their Proof of Claim form was reviewed to determine: (i) whether the claimant traded TaskUs Class A common stock during the Class Period; (ii) whether the transaction information entered on the Proof of Claim form was supported by the documentation; (iii) that the claimant did not have any additional trades not reflected on the Proof of Claim form; (iv) that the claimant's name matched the information on the documentation; and, (v) that the beneficial owner on the trade documentation, or a valid representative, was the person who signed the Proof of Claim form.

9. In order to process the claims, Epiq utilized internal codes to identify and classify any deficiency or ineligibility conditions that existed within the claims as they were processed. For example, where a claimant did not purchase any eligible TaskUs Class A common stock during the Class Period, that claim would receive a defect code that denoted ineligibility. Similar defect codes were used to denote other ineligible conditions, such as duplicate claims. These message codes indicated that the claimant is not eligible to receive any payment from the Net Settlement Fund unless the deficiency was cured in its entirety.

10. Because a claim may be deficient only in part, but otherwise acceptable, Epiq utilized codes that were only applied to specific transactions within a claim. Such codes indicated that the affected transactions were not eligible (unless the defect was cured), but that the claim was otherwise eligible for payment based on the other transactions. Thus, even if the deficiency was never cured, the claim could still be partially accepted.

PROCESSING ELECTRONICALLY FILED PROOF OF CLAIM FORMS

11. Of the 29,555 claims received by Epiq through June 10, 2026, 29,210 were filed electronically ("Electronic Claims"). Electronic Claims are typically submitted by, or on behalf of, institutional investors who may have hundreds or thousands of transactions during the Class Period.

12. Epiq maintains a Securities Team to coordinate and supervise the receipt and handling of all Electronic Claims. In this case, the Securities Team reviewed and analyzed each electronic file to ensure that it was formatted in accordance with Epiq's required format, and to identify any potential data issues or inconsistencies within the file.

13. Once the files were loaded to Epiq's database, the Electronic Claims were coded to identify them as Electronic Claims and message codes were applied to denote any deficiencies or ineligible conditions that existed within them. These message codes are similar to those applied to Proof of Claim forms. In lieu of manually applying message codes, the Securities Team performed programmatic reviews of the Electronic Claims to identify deficiency and ineligibility conditions (such as, but not limited to, price per share/net amount validation issues, out of balance conditions, and transactions outside the Class Period). The output was thoroughly verified and confirmed as accurate.

14. The review process also included flagging any Electronic Claims that were not accompanied by a signed Proof of Claim form. Where appropriate, Epiq contacted the institutional filers whose electronic files were missing information. This ensures that all claims are submitted by properly authorized representatives of the claimants.

15. Finally, at the end of this process, Epiq performed various targeted reviews of the Electronic Claims. Specifically, Epiq used the calculated Recognized Loss and other criteria to flag a sampling of electronic filers to request additional information, such as confirmation slips or other transaction-specific supporting documentation for specific purchases, sales and holdings selected by Epiq. These targeted reviews help to ensure that electronic data supplied by claimants is accurate. As set forth in Paragraphs 30-33 below, Epiq also performed additional quality assurance reviews in connection with the largest claims.

EXCLUDED PERSONS

16. Epiq also reviewed all claims to ensure that they were not submitted by or on behalf of "Excluded Persons," to the extent that the identities of such persons or entities were known to Epiq through the list of Defendants and other excluded persons and entities as described in the

Court's Order granting final approval of the Settlement (ECF 205 ¶4), based on the claimants' certifications on the Proof of Claim forms.

ADDITIONAL COMPLEXITIES ENCOUNTERED IN CLAIMS PROCESSING

17. Many of the claims Epiq received were deficient or ineligible for one or more reasons and, therefore, were subjected to the additional processing, correspondence, and telephonic communications described in the sections below entitled "The Deficiency Process for Paper Claims" and "The Deficiency Process for Electronic Claims."

18. During the processing of claims, Epiq also encountered "non-conforming" claims, which include conditions such as missing pages, no name or address, Proof of Claim forms that are blank but submitted with documentation for Epiq to complete, and Proof of Claim forms that are so materially deficient as to make what is being claimed unrecognizable.

THE DEFICIENCY PROCESS FOR PAPER CLAIMS

19. Of the 345 Proof of Claim forms received as of June 10, 2026, 149, or approximately 43% of them, were incomplete or had one or more defects or conditions of ineligibility, such as the Proof of Claim form not being signed, not being properly documented, or indicating no purchases of TaskUs Class A common stock during the Class Period. Much of Epiq's efforts in handling an administration involve claimant communications so that all claimants have a sufficient opportunity to cure any deficiencies and file a complete claim. The "Deficiency Process," which involved contacting claimants and responding to inquiries from claimants either by telephone or email, was intended to assist them in properly completing their otherwise deficient submissions so that they would be eligible to participate in the Settlement.

20. If a claim was determined to be defective or ineligible, a Notice of Deficient Proof of Claim Form Submission ("Deficiency Notice") was sent to the claimant describing the defect(s) or condition(s) of ineligibility in their claim and what was necessary to cure any "curable" defect(s) in the claim. The Deficiency Notice advised the claimant that the submission of the appropriate information and/or documentary evidence to complete the claim had to be sent within 20 days from the date of the Deficiency Notice. The Deficiency Notice further advised that if the

appropriate information was not submitted in this timeframe, the claim would be recommended for rejection to the extent the deficiency or condition of ineligibility was not cured. The Deficiency Notice also advised claimants that if they desired to contest the administrative determination, they were required to submit a written statement to Epiq within 20 days requesting Court review of the determination and setting forth the basis for their request. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is an example of the Deficiency Notice.

21. Claimants' responses to the Deficiency Notices were scanned into Epiq's database and associated with the corresponding Proof of Claim form. The responses were then carefully reviewed and evaluated by Epiq's team of processors. If a claimant's response corrected the defect(s), Epiq updated the database manually to reflect the change in status of the claim. After responses to these Deficiency Notices were received and evaluated, Epiq again contacted each claimant with still-deficient claims to provide them with an additional opportunity to cure any remaining deficiencies. As a result of this process, several previously defective claims have been cured and are now recommended as eligible for participation in the Settlement.

THE DEFICIENCY PROCESS FOR ELECTRONIC CLAIMS

22. Of the 29,210 electronic claims received, 10,113 were deficient or ineligible. To inform Electronic Claim filers that their electronic submissions were deficient, Epiq sent each filer a Deficiency Notice consisting of an email attaching a Transaction Report that listed the specific claims that were deficient or ineligible, along with a list of the specific portions of the claims that were deficient or ineligible. With respect to the Electronic Claims, the Transaction Reports:

(a) were sent electronically to filers who submitted deficient or ineligible Electronic Claims;

(b) identified individual transactions and entire Electronic Claims that were found to be deficient or ineligible so that the filer had the opportunity to correct the deficient condition or contest the determination of ineligibility;

(c) stated that any deficient transactions or Electronic Claims that remain uncured, as well as any transactions or Electronic Claims that were identified as ineligible, were rejected;

(d) notified the filer that, within 20 days, it could request that the Court review Epiq's administrative determinations if it wished to contest the rejection of any transactions or Electronic Claims; and,

(e) provided Epiq's contact information if the filer had any questions or required assistance.

23. Responses to the Transaction Reports were reviewed by Epiq's Securities Team, scanned and/or loaded into Epiq's database, and associated with the corresponding Electronic Claim. If the response corrected the defect(s) or affected the Electronic Claim's status, Epiq manually and/or programmatically updated the database to reflect the change in status of the Electronic Claim. After responses to these Deficiency Notices were received and evaluated, Epiq again contacted each claimant with still-deficient claims to provide them with an additional opportunity to cure any remaining deficiencies. As a result of this process, several previously defective claims have been cured and are now recommended as eligible for participation in the Settlement.

DISPUTED CLAIMS

24. As noted above, Claimants were advised that they had the right to contest Epiq's administrative determinations of deficiencies or ineligibility within 20 days from the date of notification and that they could request that the dispute be submitted to the Court for review. More specifically, such persons were advised in the Deficiency Notice or the Transaction Reports that if they disputed Epiq's determinations, they had to provide a statement of reasons indicating the grounds for contesting the rejection, along with supporting documentation.

25. Epiq received two (2) requests for review by the Court of the administrative determinations made by Epiq. In an attempt to resolve the disputes without necessitating the Court's intervention, Epiq contacted both of the claimants requesting Court review, and Epiq

answered all of their questions, fully explained Epiq's determination of the Claims' status, and facilitated the submission of missing information or documentation where applicable. As a result of these efforts, both requests for Court review have been resolved. Specifically, one (1) Claimant cured the deficiencies in their claim, which has since been accepted, and one (1) Claim did not generate a Recognized Loss Amount and, after speaking with Epiq, the Claimant further understood the deficiencies and withdrew their request for Court review.

LATE BUT OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE CLAIMS

26. As of June 10, 2026, Epiq has received 1,461 claims that were postmarked after the October 6, 2025, claim submission deadline established by the Court. In consultation with Lead Counsel, any Proof of Claim forms postmarked on or before June 10, 2026, will be processed and will not be rejected solely based on their late submission. A total of 749 late claims were submitted by June 10, 2026, and are otherwise eligible in whole or in part ("Late But Otherwise Eligible Claims"). These Late But Otherwise Eligible Claims are recommended herein for payment.

FINAL BAR DATE

27. There must be a final cutoff date after which no more claims will be accepted so that there may be a proportional distribution of the Net Settlement Fund and the distribution may be accomplished. Accordingly, in consultation with Lead Counsel, Epiq recommends that no Proof of Claim form postmarked after June 10, 2026, (the "Final Bar Date") be deemed eligible for payment. This Final Bar Date is appropriate given that claims were due no later than October 6, 2025, and since then, the rate of new claims and adjustments to previously filed claims has significantly diminished.

28. Further, in consultation with Lead Counsel, Epiq recommends that no documentation to cure previously defective claims, and no adjustments to previously filed Proof of Claim forms which would result in an increased Recognized Loss, may be accepted after the Final Bar Date. Should an adjustment be received that results in a lower Recognized Loss, that adjustment will be made and the Recognized Loss will be reduced accordingly.

29. The Settlement Website has been updated to indicate that Plaintiffs have sought a Final Bar Date of June 10, 2026, and will be further updated if the Final Bar Date is approved by the Court.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

30. Epiq's Quality Assurance reviews are an integral part of its settlement administration projects. Here, Epiq's personnel worked throughout the entire administration to ensure that claims were processed properly, that deficiency and ineligibility message codes were properly applied to claims, that deficiency notices were sent to the appropriate claimants, and that Epiq's computer programs were operating properly.

31. In support of the work described above, Epiq staff designed, implemented, tested, and reviewed the following programs for this administration: (i) data entry screens that store claim information (including all transactional data included in each claim and in any supporting documentation), attach message codes and, where necessary, apply text to denote conditions existing within the claim; (ii) screens for the analyst to review images of the Proof of Claim form and any supporting documentation provided; (iii) programs to load and analyze transactional data submitted electronically for all Electronic Claims (a load program converts the data submitted into the format required by the calculation program, and an analysis program determines if the data is consistent and complete); (iv) a program to compare the claimed transaction prices against the reported market prices of TaskUs Class A common stock to confirm that the claimed transactions were within an acceptable range of the reported market prices; (v) a calculation program to analyze the transactional data for all claims and calculate the Recognized Loss based on the Court-approved Plan of Allocation; and (vi) programs to generate various reports throughout and at the conclusion of the administration, including lists of all eligible and ineligible claims.

32. Epiq's Securities Team also performed a final quality control check once all of the accepted claims were processed, deficiency notices were mailed, and deficiency responses were reviewed and processed, to ensure the correctness and completeness of all of the processed claims before Epiq prepared its final reports to Lead Counsel. Here, in connection with this Quality

Assurance wrap-up, Epiq: (i) confirmed that the claims that are being recommended for approval have no message codes denoting ineligibility; (ii) confirmed that claims that are being recommended for rejection have message codes denoting ineligibility; (iii) confirmed that all claims requiring Deficiency Notices were sent such notices; (iv) performed a sample review of deficient claims; (v) reviewed a sampling of claims with high Recognized Loss to confirm Epiq's determinations; (vi) sampled claims that had been determined to be ineligible, including those with no Recognized Loss calculated in accordance with the Plan of Allocation, in order to verify that all transactions had been captured correctly; and (vii) retested the accuracy of the loss calculation program.

33. As part of its due diligence in processing the claims, Epiq also conducted a Questionable Claim Filer search of all paper claims and Electronic Claims filed in the Settlement. Epiq maintains a database of known questionable filers. This database contains names, addresses, and aliases of individuals who have been investigated by government agencies for fraudulent claim filing, as well as the names and contact information compiled from previous settlements that Epiq has administered where fraudulent claims were received. Epiq updates the database on a regular basis. The database for the Settlement was searched for all individuals identified in our Questionable Claim Filer Database. Epiq performed searches based on name, aliases, address, and city/zip code. In addition, all of Epiq's claim processors are trained to identify any potentially inauthentic documentation when processing claims, including for claims submitted by claimants not previously captured in our database as Questionable Claim Filers. Processors are instructed to flag claims as Questionable Claims and route them to the Project Manager and Securities Team for review. No claims were located and rejected as a result of these searches. Further, Epiq performed searches to identify and exclude potential payments to payees whose names may appear on the federal government's restricted persons list or who reside in countries to which payments are prohibited in accordance with the regulations and guidelines of the U.S. Treasury Department, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

DISPOSITION OF PROOF OF CLAIM FORMS

34. Epiq has completed the processing of all 29,555 claims that have been received through June 10, 2026, and has determined that 19,205 are acceptable in whole, 80 are acceptable in part, and 10,270 should be wholly rejected because they are either ineligible, wholly deficient, have no Recognized Loss when calculated in accordance with the Court-approved Plan of Allocation, or were withdrawn at the claimant's request.

35. A list of the claims and Epiq's recommendations as to their disposition is contained in the Claims Administrator's Report attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. Exhibit B-1, titled "Timely Eligible Claims," lists all timely-filed accepted claims and states their Recognized Loss. Exhibit B-2, titled "Late But Otherwise Eligible Claims," lists all late-filed accepted claims and states their Recognized Loss. Exhibit B-3, titled "Rejected Claims," lists all wholly rejected claims and states the reason for their rejection. For privacy reasons, Exhibit B provides only the claimant's Claim Number and Recognized Loss or Reason for Rejection (no names, addresses, Taxpayer ID, Social Security or Social Insurance Numbers are disclosed).

36. Epiq has determined that 19,285 claims should be accepted. The claims recommended for acceptance represent a total Recognized Loss of $142,562,640.08 under the Court-approved Plan of Allocation. Of that total, $113,081,741.59 is for Timely Eligible Claims and $29,480,898.49 is for Late But Otherwise Eligible Claims.

37. According to the Court-approved Plan of Allocation, each Authorized Claimant will be allocated a *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund based on his, her, or its Recognized Loss in comparison to the total Recognized Loss of all Authorized Claimants.

38. The 10,270 wholly rejected claims are recommended for rejection by the Court for the following reasons:

Summary of Rejected Claims	
Reason for Rejection	**Number of Claims**
No Eligible Purchases During the Class Period	493
Claim Did Not Result in a Recognized Loss	9,606
Deficient Claim with Condition of Ineligibility Never Cured	45
Duplicate Claim	14
Withdrawn Claim/Voided by Request	112
TOTAL	**10,270**

FEES AND DISBURSEMENTS

39. Epiq agreed to be the Claims Administrator for the Settlement in exchange for payment of its fees and expenses. These fees and expenses are compensable Notice and Administration Costs per the terms of the Stipulation. (ECF 187-1 ¶2.8.) Lead Counsel received regular reports of and invoices for all of the work Epiq performed with respect to provision of notice and the administration of the Settlement, and authorized the claims administration work performed herein.

40. To date, Epiq has incurred a total of $104,920.46 in fees and expenses for its work performed on behalf of the Class, none of which has been paid. Additionally, Epiq has estimated that the remaining cost of conducting the initial distribution of the Settlement will be no more than $10,000. Therefore, the estimated total cost of the administration of the Settlement, through and including the initial distribution, will be no more than $114,920.46.

41. The cost of conducting the initial distribution will be reserved prior to the initial distribution. Should the estimate of fees and expenses to conduct the initial distribution exceed the actual fees and expenses, Epiq will refund the difference to the Settlement Fund once the initial distribution is completed.

DISTRIBUTION PLAN FOR THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND

42. Should the Court concur with Epiq's determinations concerning the accepted and rejected claims, including the Late But Otherwise Eligible Claims, Epiq recommends the following distribution plan (the "Distribution Plan"):

(a) Epiq will conduct an initial distribution (the "Initial Distribution") of the Net Settlement Fund, after deducting the payments previously allowed and requested herein, and after payment of any Taxes, the costs of preparing appropriate tax returns, and any escrow fees as follows:

(i) Epiq will calculate award amounts to all Authorized Claimants by calculating their *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund in accordance with the Plan of Allocation, which shall be the Authorized Claimant's Recognized Claim divided by the total of the Recognized Claims of all Authorized Claimants, multiplied by the total amount in the Net Settlement Fund.

(ii) Epiq will, pursuant to the terms of the Plan of Allocation, eliminate from the Initial Distribution any Authorized Claimant whose *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund, as calculated under subparagraph (a)(i) above, is less than $10.00. Such claimants will not receive any distribution from the Net Settlement Fund and Epiq will send correspondence to those Authorized Claimants advising them of that fact.

(iii) After eliminating claimants who would have received less than $10.00, Epiq will calculate the *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund for Authorized Claimants who would have received $10.00 or more pursuant to the calculations described in subparagraph (a)(i) above ("Distribution Amount").

(iv) To encourage Authorized Claimants to promptly deposit their payments, all distribution checks will bear a notation "DEPOSIT PROMPTLY, VOID AND SUBJECT TO RE-DISTRIBUTION IF NOT NEGOTIATED WITHIN 90 DAYS OF ISSUE DATE."

(v) Authorized Claimants who do not cash their Initial Distribution checks within the time allotted will irrevocably forfeit all recovery from the Settlement. The funds allocated to all such stale-dated checks will be available to be re-distributed to other Authorized Claimants in the Second Distribution as discussed below. Similarly, Authorized Claimants who do not cash their second or subsequent distributions (should such distributions occur) within the time allotted will irrevocably forfeit any further recovery from the Net Settlement Fund.

(b) After Epiq has made reasonable and diligent efforts to have Authorized Claimants cash their Initial Distribution checks, Epiq will conduct a second distribution of the Net Settlement Fund (the "Second Distribution"). The Second Distribution will include any unclaimed amounts remaining in the Net Settlement Fund after the Initial Distribution, after deducting Epiq's fees and expenses incurred in connection with administering the Settlement for which it has not yet been paid (including the estimated costs of such Second Distribution), and after the payment of any Taxes, the costs of preparing appropriate tax returns, and any escrow fees. These remaining funds will be distributed to all Authorized Claimants in the Initial Distribution who cashed their Initial Distribution check and would receive at least $10.00 from the Second Distribution based on their *pro rata* share of the remaining funds.

(c) To allow a final distribution of any funds remaining in the Net Settlement Fund after completion of the Second Distribution, whether by reason of returned funds, tax refunds, interest, uncashed checks, or otherwise:

(i) If cost effective, Epiq will conduct a further distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, in which all funds remaining in the Net Settlement Fund, after deducting Epiq's unpaid fees and expenses incurred or to be incurred in connection with administering the Net Settlement Fund (including the estimated costs of such distribution), and after the payment of any Taxes, the costs of preparing appropriate tax returns, and any escrow fees, will be distributed in an equitable fashion to Authorized Claimants who cashed their Second Distribution checks. Additional re-distributions, after deduction of costs and expenses as described above and subject to the same conditions, may occur thereafter until Lead Counsel, in consultation with Epiq, determines that further re-distribution is not cost-effective. At that point, and as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, the residual balance will be donated to a non-profit, charitable organization serving the public interest and unaffiliated with the Parties or their counsel, selected by Lead Counsel.

(d) No new Claims may be accepted after June 10, 2026, and no further cures or adjustment to Claims received on or before June 10, 2026, that would result in an increased Recognized Claim may be made for any reason after June 10, 2026, subject to the following exception: Should an adjustment be received that results in a lower Recognized Loss Amount, that adjustment will be made and the Recognized Loss Amount will be reduced accordingly.

CONCLUSION

43. Epiq respectfully requests that the Court approve its administrative determinations accepting and rejecting the Claims submitted herein and approving the proposed Distribution Plan. Epiq further submits that its unpaid fees and expenses of $114,920.46 should be approved for payment from the Settlement Fund.

Dated: June 10, 2026

By: ______________________
Morgan Kimball